



## *Project Summary*

# Control of Emissions from Residential Wood Burning by Combustion Modification

John M. Allen and Marcus W. Cooke

An exploratory study is described of factors contributing to atmospheric emissions from residential wood-fired combustion equipment. Three commercial appliances were operated with both normal and modified designs, providing different burning modes: up-draft with a grate, up-draft with a hearth, cross-draft, down-draft, and a high-turbulence mode utilizing a forced-draft blower. Fuels were naturally dried commercial oak cordwood, commercial green pine cordwood, oven-dried fir brands, and naturally dried oak cut into reproducible triangles. Continuous measurements of stack gases included O<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, NO, SO<sub>2</sub>, and total hydrocarbons (FID) as an indication of the total organic species in the stack gases during batchtype operation. Several combustion modification techniques were identified which have an appreciable effect on emission factors and, therefore, can be developed and applied to reduce emissions in consumer use. The more promising design modifications include: preventing heating of the wood inventory within the stove, focusing the air supply into the primary burning area with high turbulence, and increasing the temperatures in the secondary burning regions of the appliances.

*This Project Summary was developed by EPA's Industrial Environmental Research Laboratory, Research Tri-*

*angle Park, NC, to announce key findings of the research project that is fully documented in a separate report of the same title (see Project Report ordering information at back).*

### Introduction

The study reported in this document was initiated to explore the combustion modification techniques that might be applied beneficially to wood stoves, and more specifically to identify those techniques which show promise of providing a significant improvement in emissions when adequately developed and applied. The focus of the effort is on naturally drafted, hand-fired radiant stoves, as these constitute the majority of units used extensively for residential heating.

The combustion in wood stoves, and the resulting emissions, can be modified in many ways. In this experimental program several modifications were made in stove operation in attempts to correlate specific changes in emissions with each of several specific combustion modifications. Synergistic effects of several simultaneous modifications were not specifically sought. However, each set of tests conducted to demonstrate the effects of a specific modification necessarily depends on the other design and operating conditions in use at the time. The effects of a specific modification under different design and operating conditions can only be assumed, and the synergistic effects determined by inference.



**Table 1.** Summary of Wood Combustion Research Reviewed

<i>Performing Laboratory</i>	<i>Project Focus</i>
<i>Monsanto Research Corporation</i>	<i>Extensive analysis of residential wood stove emissions</i>
<i>California Air Resources Board</i>	<i>Residential and laboratory tests on free standing stoves</i>
<i>Bowdoin College</i>	<i>Measured particulate emissions at low burn rates using a dilution tunnel</i>
<i>Canadian Combustion Research Laboratory</i>	<i>Continuous monitoring of gas emissions including efficiency measurements of different stove designs and fireplaces at low rates of burning</i>
<i>Auburn University</i>	<i>Measured stove performance and safety, focusing on efficiency measurements</i>
<i>Argonne National Laboratory</i>	<i>Measuring emission factors for residential wood stoves</i>
<i>Tennessee Valley Authority</i>	<i>Evaluation of several wood stoves including particulate and gas emission and efficiency calculations</i>
<i>Virginia Polytechnic Institute</i>	<i>Studies of catalytic-bed after-burners and staged combustion</i>
<i>National Bureau of Standards</i>	<i>Basic study of detailed characterization of emissions and their formation</i>
<i>Thermocore, Inc.</i>	<i>Measurement of air supply configuration effects in stove design</i>
<i>New York University (Plattsburgh)</i>	<i>Studies on thermostatic control development and stove design focused on particulates</i>
<i>Vermont Environmental Control Agency</i>	<i>Ambient emission studies focusing on particulates in the atmosphere</i>
<i>Institute of Man and Resources</i>	<i>Particulate and limited gas measurements for 10 central systems using wood for residential heating</i>
<i>U.S. EPA, Industrial Environmental Research Laboratory (RTP), Combustion Research Branch</i>	<i>Continuous measurement of gas emissions and comparison of stack sampling and dilution tunnel sampling during several phases of burning cordwood and pellets used as fuels</i>
<i>Del Green Associates</i>	<i>Just starting an emission measurement program using six stoves to determine reasonable standards for emissions, to develop a simplified testing procedure, and to evaluate the effects of fuel moisture</i>
<i>OMNI Environmental</i>	<i>An emission evaluation to establish baseline data on several new, commercially available stove designs</i>

The modifications conducted in this laboratory program were of three types: stove design, fuel properties, and operator techniques. The uses of non-wood fuel and combustion additives were not considered. The use of processed wood, such as pellets, was also not considered, as this fuel can most effectively be burned in equipment specifically designed for its use.

### **Wood Combustion**

The combustion of wood is generally recognized as involving three processes

or phases: moisture evaporation, pyrolysis with subsequent space burning, and surface char burning. These processes occur successively at any local particle of wood, but in real combustion systems there is appreciable overlap resulting in all three processes occurring simultaneously within a combustion chamber loaded with wood. This overlap is especially significant when a reservoir of fuel is supplied within the combustion space for prolonged burning, as often occurs in stoves.

### **Types of Wood Combustors**

Several options of air flow and fuel patterns within the stove have been adopted in commercialized designs. This study investigated up-draft airflow using a grate, up-draft using an impervious hearth, cross-draft, and down-draft through a grate. Also studied was a novel residential combustor design using forced-draft, highly turbulent combustion with additional design characteristics promoting low emissions.

## Fuel Characteristics

Several types of wood were burned including commercially available air-dried oak cordwood, commercially available green pine, oven dried fir, and air-dried oak cut into reproducible triangles. The effects of piece size and dryness on emissions were found to be significant: extremely dry wood and small pieces resulted in high emissions of CO and hydrocarbons.

## Operator Techniques

Stove operation at high burning rates was shown to result in reduced emissions of CO and organics as compared to low burning rates, and large inventories of wood in the combustion chamber reservoir also increase these emissions.

## Current Research Summaries

This study included a review of current research programs related to wood stove emissions. Table 1 summarizes the programs and organizations performing wood combustion research including the emission measurements discussed in this study. There may be other studies unknown to the authors.

## Conclusions

This laboratory program included an investigation of the effects of several independent variables on the atmospheric emissions averaged over complete burning cycles. Emissions were measured for CO and a total of organic species as indicated by total hydrocarbons (THC) measured by flame ionization detection. For a few tests, emissions of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) were also measured by integrated gas chromatography and mass spectroscopy.

When burning air-dried oak at moderate rates, >4.5 kg/hr (>10 lb/hr), small differences were observed between up-draft burning with a grate and side draft burning modes in Table 2. The up-draft mode burning on a hearth resulted in lower emissions. When the side-draft stove was converted to the down-draft burning mode, the emissions were appreciably lower. Emissions from the novel high-turbulence wood burner were lower than those of conventional radiant wood stoves.

In the few tests involving measurements of specific PAH compounds from five different conditions of burning, the total PAH emissions varied from  $11 \times 10^{-3}$  g/kg wood for high-turbulence

Table 2. Atmospheric Emissions as Measured by Flame Ionization Detection

Burning Mode	Emissions	
	g emitted per kg wood as fired*	
	CO	THC
Up-Draft on Hearth	33 to 37	8 to 19
Up-Draft with a Grate	200 to 400	32 to 42
Side-Draft	34 to 210	24 to 220
Down-Draft	4 to 110	5 to 56
High-Turbulence	~25	~5

\* $\leq$ g emitted per kg wood is equivalent to lb emitted per 1000 lb wood.

burning to  $50 \times 10^{-3}$  g/kg wood for down-draft burning. The emissions of BaP were found to be appreciably lower for down-draft and high-turbulence burning ( $0.04$  and  $0.05 \times 10^{-3}$  g/kg wood, respectively) than from side-draft and up-draft burning ( $0.5$  and  $1.4 \times 10^{-3}$  g/kg wood, respectively).

The emissions of CO and THC were observed to be much greater when lower burning rates were maintained, when large quantities of wood were charged at one time, and when small or oven-dried wood was burned. Emissions of both CO and THC were decreased by as much as a factor of 10 when effective secondary burning was obtained.

As a result of this study, several combustion modification techniques appear to be available to reduce the emissions from wood stoves, with varying degrees of probable effectiveness, and different levels of acceptability to residential stove builders and operators. These techniques are summarized in Table 3, noting whether modified stove designs, special operator techniques, or specific fuel characteristics are required. These conclusions indicate the more promising combustion modifications which should be developed in more detail to justify practice by stove users. In each category the modifications are presented in decreasing order as to their likelihood of being effectively implemented.

**Table 3. Summary of Emission Control Techniques**

<i>Factors Adversely Affecting Emissions</i>	<i>Approaches for Possible Improvements</i>	<i>Principal Modifications Required</i>	<i>Applicable to Existing Stoves?</i>
<i>Premature Pyrolysis in Wood Magazine Within Stove</i>	1. Preventing heating of wood inventory	Design	No
	2. Feed wood frequently in small amounts	Operation	Yes
	3. Use large wood pieces, low surface-to-volume ratio	Fuel	Yes
	4. Burn moderate moisture content wood to retard pyrolysis	Fuel	Yes
	5. Burn devolatilized wood, charcoal	Fuel	Yes
<i>Pyrolysis Rate in Primary Combustion Area Exceeds Local Air Supply Preventing Complete Combustion</i>	1. Maintain high rate of primary air supply, with ensuing high burning rates	Operation	Yes
	2. Focus air supply into limited burning area to prevent widespread burning	Design	Retrofit
	3. Maintain high turbulence in active combustion region	Design	No
	4. Limit quantity of fuel in active burning area; i.e. approach fuel-controlled burning	Design	No
	5. Maintain high temperatures in active burning area	Design	Retrofit
	6. Avoid sharp and/or frequent reductions in air supply rate	Operation	Yes
<i>Control of Emissions in Primary Burning Area</i>	1. Provide high level of turbulence in burning area to promote mixing	Design	No
	2. Maintain high temperatures in burning area	Design	Retrofit
	3. Provide long gas residence time at the high temperatures	Design	No
	4. Duct pyrolysis products from magazine into burning area	Design	No
	5. Provide down-draft combustion, with bed area reduction to accommodate low burning rates	Design	No
<i>Control of Emissions in Secondary Combustion Zone</i>	1. Maintain high temperatures	Design	Retrofit
	2. Use heated secondary air	Design	No
	3. Increase combustible content of primary combustion products	Design	No
	4. Provide auxiliary combustion using an ignition source and/or supplementary fuel	Design (Operation)	No
<i>Add-on Systems Affecting Emissions Reduction</i>	1. Use catalytic afterburner	Design	Yes
	2. Use separately fueled afterburner	Design	Yes
	3. Add heat storage capacity to the system, permitting other modifications to be acceptable for consumer utilization	Design	Yes

---

*John M. Allen and Marcus W. Cooke are with Battelle-Columbus Laboratories,  
505 King Avenue, Columbus, OH 43201.*

*Robert E. Hall is the EPA Project Officer (see below).*

*The complete report, entitled "Control of Emissions from Residential Wood  
Burning by Combustion Modification," (Order No. PB 81-217 655; Cost: \$9.50,  
subject to change) will be available only from:*

*National Technical Information Service  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, VA 22161  
Telephone: 703-487-4650*

*The EPA Project Officer can be contacted at:*

*Industrial Environmental Research Laboratory  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711*

United States  
Environmental Protection  
Agency

Center for Environmental Research  
Information  
Cincinnati OH 45268

Postage and  
Fees Paid  
Environmental  
Protection  
Agency  
EPA 335



---

Official Business  
Penalty for Private Use \$300

PS 0000329  
U S ENVIR PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION 5 LIBRARY  
230 S DEARBORN STREET  
CHICAGO IL 60604